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Abstract: This paper is about Speaker recognition using Watermark technology for Anti-Spoofing Attack. Speaker recognition is a process whereas speaker identification and speaker verification refer to definite tasks. For the areas in which security is a foremost concern, speaker Recognition technique is one of the most useful recognition techniques. There are possibilities of spoofing attack in recognition system, which break the Security system. By using the watermark technology the authenticity information can be hiding. That hidden information can use as the authenticity or surety purpose in Speaker recognition System.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Speaker recognition system is used for the security purpose, telephone banking and voice mail system, there are many challenges in speaker recognition system, which are affecting directly or indirectly affect the system accuracy. One of that is voice conversion which is known as spoofing attack. In spoofing attack one speaker speech is produce in source side is modified like at target speech [2]. Mostly two popular spoofing attack methods include speech synthesis system and a human mimicking [2]. In speech synthesis between source and target is trained and test or Target speaker speech is directly applied for synthesizer. In human voice mimicking a person try to generate speech like to target speaker or target’s speech is recorded and then played back [2]. Although studies have shown that human can easily distinguished between synthesized and natural speech, it is difficult even for human to distinguish play-back attacks.

This paper has used the new idea for anti-spoofing attack in speaker recognition. The main idea is that the watermark is embedded in speech signal at transmitter side can be applied for checking genuinely of the speaker in receiver side. Due to properties of the watermark, various type of spoofing attack can be secured. Furthermore, there is possibility to trace the source of attack. That gives a better authenticity of speaker.

This paper is organized as following: First, speaker recognition in speech, second watermarking in speech is discussed, third application of speech watermarking in anti-spoofing attack is explained. Finally, performance parameters and conclusion are discussed.

II. SPEAKER RECOGNITION SYSTEM

Fig. 1 shows the basic model of speaker recognition system. Speaker recognition system can be design using three phases that is pre-processing, feature extraction and classification [3].

Fig. 2 shows block diagram of a speaker recognition system. Microphone is used as a sensor. Sensor data is given to pre-processing block. After finding start point and end point in pre-processing, the features are extracted frame by frame and it will store as template in template database [3]. One by one 1 to N all speaker’s speech data are stored in template database. This procedure is called as Enrollment, also called as training phase.

During the testing phase one of the N speakers will speak and this data are given to the pre-processing block then find the features and prepared a template. Now that template will be matched with the template database and the best matched will considered as best score depending upon the threshold. The best among of that decide and identified the true speaker.

Fig. 1. Basic Model of Speaker Recognition System

Fig. 2. Block diagram of Speaker recognition process [1]
A. Pre-Processing

The property of speech signal is change relatively slowly with time. So that short time analysis is needed in speech processing. In speech processing this short time segment is considered as frame and the frame size is taken as 10ms to 40ms so that variation of speech signal is observable in short time [3]. Speech is divided in number of frame in which all the frame Short Time Energy (STE) [5] and Zero Crossing Rates (ZCR) [5] is measured. If the energy of any frame is higher than some threshold then it is considered as signal frame. If the energy is less than threshold then it is considered as silent period. So, energy is widely used for the measurement of start and end point of any speech signal. But for weak fricative it is not possible to find the start and end point by simply finding the energy only. ZCR is used for finding the weather the frame is voiced or unvoiced. If the ZCR counts are found to be higher, then it is unvoiced frame and if ZCR counts are less, then it is voiced frame. Also for silent period the ZCR counts are always less than the unvoiced sound. So, based on this STE and ZCR one can accurately find start point and end point of any speech signal. Now this speech signal is applied to the next phase called as feature extraction technique.

B. Feature Extraction Techniques

- **Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficient (MFCC)**

A block diagram of an MFCC feature extraction is shown in Fig. 3. This coefficient has a great success in speaker recognition application. The MFCC is the most evident example of a feature set that is extensively used in speech recognition [6]. As the frequency bands are positioned logarithmically in MFCC, it approximates the human system response more closely than any other system. Technique of computing MFCC is based on the short-term analysis, and thus from each frame a MFCC vector is computed. In order to extract the coefficients the speech sample is taken as the input and hamming window is applied to minimize the discontinuities of a signal [7]. Then DFT will be used to generate the Mel filter bank.

MFCC can be computed by using the below formula 1.

$$\text{Mel}(f) = 2595 \times \log_{10}(1 + f/700)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The following fig. 3 shows the steps involved in MFCC feature extraction.

- **Linear Predictive Coding (LPC)**

Linear prediction is a mathematical computational operation which is linear combination of several previous samples. LPC of speech has become the predominant technique for estimating the basic parameters of speech. It provides both an accurate estimate of the speech parameters and it is also an efficient computational model of speech.

The basic idea behind LPC is that a speech sample can be approximated as a linear combination of past speech samples [8]. Through minimizing the sum of squared differences (over a finite interval) between the actual speech samples and predicted values, a unique set of parameters or predictor coefficients can be determined. These coefficients form the basis for LPC of speech. The following fig. 4 shows the steps involved in LPC feature extraction.

- **Other feature extraction techniques**

A number of other feature extraction techniques are available by simply modifying the above feature extraction techniques, including the following:

- Log area ratio (LAR)[9]
- Jitter and shimmer[9]
- Mean and Variance of the residual phase[9]
- Combination of MFCC and Phase information[12]
- Mel filter bank slope (MFS) features[10]
- Delta and double delta of MFCC features[10]
- Cepstral Mean Subtraction (CMS) MFCC[11]

So many feature extraction techniques are available. One can used any technique according to application and can get better recognition accuracy. Now this features we have to store in a database for N different speakers and this database will be used for classification purpose.

C. Classification Techniques

- **Dynamic Time Warping**

This is used specifically to deal with variance in speaking rate and variable length of input vectors because this algorithm calculates the similarity between two sequences which may vary in time or speed. To normalize the timing differences between test utterance and the reference template, time warping is done non-linearly in time dimension. After time normalization, a time normalized distance is calculated between the patterns. The speaker with minimum time normalized distance is identified as authentic speaker [5].
• Other Classification Techniques
A number of classification techniques are available including following:
• Artificial Neural Network (ANN) [3]
• Polynomial Classifier [11]
• Vector quantization [14]
• Gaussian mixture model [14]
• Support Vector Machines [15]
• Hidden Markov Model [16]
• Pearson correlation [17]

III. SPEECH WATERMARKING
Watermarking is the technique and art of hiding additional data (such as watermarked bits, logo and text message) in the host signal which includes image, video, audio, speech, text, without any perceptibility of the existence of additional information [18]. The additional information which is embedded in the host signal should be extractable and must resist various intentional and unintentional attacks. Digital speech watermarking process is depicted in Fig. 5.

In terms of source and extraction module for digital speech watermarking are found three main categories [18]:
• Blind [23] speech watermarking which does not need any extra information such as original signal, logo or watermarked bits for watermark extraction.
• Semi-blind speech watermarking which may need extra information for the extraction phase like access to the published watermarked signal that is the original signal after just adding the watermark.
• Non-blind speech watermarking which needs the original signal and the watermarked signal for extracting watermark.

Different methods are used for digital speech watermarking [18]. Fig. 6 presents an overview of these methods. There is Steganography [4][20][21] Techniques also an option for information hiding in Audio and speech signal.

IV. WATERMARKING FOR ANTI-SPOOFING ATTACK
There are possibility of spoofing and attack in the speaker recognition system such like whenever the input side or sensor side the claim speaker data is already know the watermark of the system so that playback attack is possible in input side which make spoof to the system. There is also possible to attack at the transmission line with replay attack or direct attack to the system [19]. Figure 7 is show the possibility of the attack in the system. To prevent the system from the attack we can use the watermark technology at transmitter side as well as receiver side.

Due to nice property of digital speech watermarking for authentication, it is possible to authentication or verify genuinely of the speaker in receiver side. Fig. 8 shows proposed system in transmitter side. As seen, first the speech signal is checked for available watermark, if watermark is available in speech signal, it means the signal is already has been used (replay attack) so that the speaker is unauthorized. Otherwise watermark is embedded in speech signal as anti-spoofing attack.
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Fig. 9 shows that in receiver side, as seen firstly speakers feature is extracted from claimed speech, secondly this feature is feed to classification model then decision is made. Whole of this process is same as normal speaker recognition. However when the speaker is accepted, it would be checked for watermark availability. If watermark is available in claimed speech, it means the source of the claimed speaker is genuine. Otherwise, spoofing attack is happened. Sometimes, it is possible to track the replay attack for finding the source of attack [2].

Fig. 9 Diagram of speaker recognition with an anti-spoofing attack detector by using digital speech watermarking

V. PERFORMANCE MEASURING PARAMETERS

A. Identification Rate

Identification Rate is familiar measurement of the performance of a speaker recognition system [3].

\[
\text{%Identification Rate} = \frac{\text{No. Of Correctly Identified trials}}{\text{Total No. of Trials}} \times 100
\]

B. Signal to Watermark Ratio

Signal to watermark ratio is investigating the effect of the watermark on speaker recognition system [2].

\[
SWR(\omega, \phi) = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega(i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\omega(i) - \phi(i))^2} \text{ (dB)}
\]

Where \( \omega \) and \( \phi \) are original and watermarked speech signal respectively.

According watermarking method is use, related performance parameters are change [18] [22].

VI. CONCLUSION

Spoofing attacks are the main aim for developers who want to develop remote or online speaker recognition system. Digital watermarking can successfully use for various types of spoofing attack.it improve accuracy of speaker recognition system in case of unsecure channels.
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